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## TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Listed below are terms and definitions which have been used when making the equations:

Tree<br>The above- and belowground part of the tree<br>\section*{Trunk}<br>Height<br>Circumference at breast height<br>Treestand<br>Simple treestand<br>Compound treestand<br>Pure stand<br>Mixed stand<br>Dominating tree species<br>Dominating stand<br>Forest stand<br>Forest element

## Undergrowth

## Kraft classes

- a perennial plant which usually forms one lignified trunk and a clearly defined crown.
- Categorized according to the lined formed by the top layer of the soil/ground, the surface part consists of the lateral part of the tree and the crown, but the underground part of tree roots.
- The surface part of the main shoot with apical dominance. The trunk consists of a stump, stem (middle part) and top.
- The height of an individual tree from the base point to the tree top.
- The circumference of an individual tree 1.3 m above the base point.
- A collection of trees within a forest stand.
- A stand in which the trees are of similar height (the deviance does not exceed $20 \%$ ).
- A stand in which the trees are of two or more heights.
- A stand in which the dominating tree species forms at least $95 \%$ of the stand.
- A stand in which the dominating tree specias forms less than $95 \%$ of the stand.
- A tree species which has the greatest wood stock (if the dominatig species has a $\mathrm{d} \geq 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ or $\mathrm{h} \geq 12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) or number of trees within the stand.
- Trees within the forest stand with the greatest wood stock and the height of which has a deviance of less than $10 \%$ from the average height of the group.
- An area of forest with similar growing conditions, similar tree species and age structure which is different from the surrounding forest area.
- A collection of trees of the same species, generation, origin and development stange which interact in the same conditions in growth and development. Trees are of the same generation if their age differs by no more than 2 age groups. When modelling trees of the same species and height are considered a forest element.
- A collection of young trees under a stands older trees or in a clearing after the clearing of older trees which canlater form a new stand and become a forestry object.
- Classification of trees to describe their social state:
- class 1 st - (virsvaldkoki) - the tallest trees and trees with the greatest circumference with a well developedd crown and the treetops of which rise above the crown of the surrounding trees;
- Class II - (valdkoki) - form the main crown cover, the trunks are a little smaller than those of class 1st trees;
- class III - (līdzvaldkoki) - the tree crowns are relatively less developed, less wide, placed inbetween class 1st and II tree crowns in the bottom part of the crown cover;
- class IV - (nomāktie koki) - the crowns are smaller than those of class III trees. The treetops reach the bottom part of the crown cover. The trees noticably fall behind class 1st - III trees. The trees are divided into 2 subclasses: IV a - trees with narrow, but consistent crowns and which reach into the crown cover; IV b subclass - the crown is on one side of the tree and the top does not reach the crown cover, and the bottom part of the crown is very shaded or dead;
- class V - (stipri nomāktie koki) - placed under the crown cover of the dominating stand. Trees with a small dying crown are classified as Va, but trees with a dead crown as class Vb .


## Site index

Biological or chronological age

Breast height age
Site index at dominant height

Density factor
Density
Stand of normal density
Square average
diameter
Average diameter of the
dominating stand
Average height
Height of dominating stand
Dominant height
Basal area
Wood stock
Tree
The above- and belowground part of the tree

Trunk

- The age of a forest element at 1.3 m from base point.
- A classification unit used to describe the productivity of a forest stand, determined by the dominant height of the dominating tree species at a certain age.
The actual number of trees divided by a normal number of trees or the actual area of a basal divided by a normal basal area.
- The number of trees per ha
- A stand with a basal area equal to a normal basal area
- The diameter at breast height of a tree with an average basal area.
- The square average diameter of the trees i the dominating stand.
- A height of a forest element corresponding to the square average diameter according to the height curve.
- Tree height which corresponds to the square average diameter of the dominating trees.
- A height which corresponds to the square average diameter of dominating trees.
- The sum $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ of the tree trunk basal areas at breast height ( 1.3 m from base point) of the trees in one hectare.
- The volume of tree trunks of a forest element from stump to tree top. Can be determined with or without the bark of a tree.
- a perennial plant which usually forms one lignified trunk and a clearly defined crown.
- Categorized according to the lined formed by the top layer of the soil/ground, the surface part consists of the lateral part of the tree and the crown, but the underground part of tree roots.
- The surface part of the main shoot with apical dominance. The trunk consists of a stumo, bole (middle part) and stem top.


## ABBREVIATIONS

| $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ | - The biological age of a forest element, years |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1.3}$ | - The age of a forest element at breast height, years |
| $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ | - The age of a forest element at the height of 1.3 m in the beginnning of the actualization period, years |
| $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ | - The age of a forest element at the height of 1.3 m at the end of the actualisation period, years |
| $\Delta \mathrm{a}$ | - The age difference between stump height and at $1.3 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}-\mathrm{a}_{1.3}\right)$, years |
| B | - Orlov's site index |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {ij }}$ | - average diameter of individual 1st floor trees at the height of $1.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| d | - average diameter of forest elements at the height of $1.3 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | - average diameter of forest elements at the height of 1.3 m in the beginning of actualization |
| $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ | - average diameter of forest elements at the height if 1.3 m at the end of the actualization period, cm |
| g | - basal area of a forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| G | - basal area of a forest stand, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ | - basal area of a forest element in the beginning of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ | - basal area of a forest stand at the end of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{g}^{\prime}$ | - estimated basal area of an individual tree at the end of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| GL | - sum of basal areas of forest elements which are the same or greater than the chosen forest element (if a forest element of the 1st floor, then a basal of the 1st floor, if a forest element of the II floor, then a sum of the basal areas of both the 1st and II floors) in the beginning of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$; |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\text {max }}$ | - The greatest possible basal area of a forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {max }}$ | - The greatest possible basal area of 1st storey, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{g}_{\text {norm }}$ | - Normal basal of a forest element, m $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{G}_{\text {norm }}$ | - Normal basal area of trees of 1st storey, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ |
| h | - Average height of forest element, m |
| $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ | - Average height of forest element in the beginning of actualization, $m$ |
| $\mathbf{h}_{2}$ | - Average height of forest element at the end of actualization, m |
| $\mathbf{h}_{\text {dom }}$ | - Dominant height of forest element, m |
| $\mathbf{h}_{\text {dom1 }}$ | - Dominant height of forest element in the beginning of actualization, m |
| $\mathbf{h}_{\text {dom } 2}$ | - Dominant height of forest element at the end of actualization, m |
| $\mathbf{h}_{20,50,100}$ | - Estimated height of forest element at a particular age at breast height (20, 50 or 100 years), m |
| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ij }}$ | - Composition coefficient of indiviual 1st storey forest element |
| m | - Wood stock of a forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| M | - Wood stock of a forest stand, m ${ }^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ | - Wood stock of a forest element in the beginning of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ | - Wood stock of a forest element at the end of actualization period, $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| n | - Number of trees in a forest element, $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| N | - Number of 1st floor trees in a forest stand, ha ${ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathbf{n}_{1}$ | - Number of trees in a forest element in the beginning of actualization period, ha ${ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathbf{n}_{2}$ | - Number of trees in a forest element at the end of actualization period, $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathbf{n}_{\text {max }}$ | - highest possible number of 1st floor trees in a forest element, ha ${ }^{-1}$ |
| $\mathbf{N}_{\text {max }}$ | - highest possible number of 1st floor trees in a forest stand, $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| RB | - Relative density of 1st floor trees in a forest stand |
| SI | - Site index of (virsaugstuma) in a forest stand, m |
| t | - Duration od actualization period, years |

## BASIC PRINCIPLES APPLIED IN THE MODEL

This LVMI Silava forest research long-term prognosis model (AGM) is developed as a simulation model. The structure and calculation principles are described in details in the report on the development of AGM model (Šnepsts u.c., 2018).
In forest research modelling data from the National Forest inventory (NFI) database was used, but it is possible to use data from the State Forest Service (SFS) registry by changing the format according to the NFI data.

Changes to the forest stand in the programme are modelled on a forest element level where a collection of individuals of the same species, generation and level are considered a forest element. Changes in forest resources are modelled in five year periods.

The process of existing tree stand modelling is deterministic, but renewing and harvesting are stohastic processes. In modelling the growing process of tree stands growing process models developed by LVMI Silava were used. (Donis et al, 2017)

The default forest resource long term prognosis model works according to current (last five years) management practice, but users will be able to set a variety of management scenarios.

Changes in forest resources are modelled according to current forest management practice in the default setting, but it is possible to set a variety of management scenarios.

The process of forest resource prognosis consists of three stages:

1. creating a data table suitable for modelling;
2. defining a management scenario and criteria of suitable sectors;
3. modelling changes in forest resources for $n$ periods in the future.


Figure 1 Scheme of the LVMI Silava changes in forest resources projections process based on NFI data.

## VERIFICATION METHOD

225 sampling plots measured in the third cycle are selected from the MSI database in which:

- the dominating tree species is pine, spruce or birch,
- sampling plots are not divided into sectors,
- there has been no felling between stock-taking.

Sampling plots used in data analysis represent a wide range of age, height and density. (Table 1).

Table 1: Initial taxation indicators of sampling plots used in projections of changes in tree stand taxation data

| Taxation unit | Statistical indicators | Dominating tree species |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | pine | spruce | birch | all |
| Age of dominating tree species of the I floor of the tree stand, years | Arithmetic average | 79 | 63 | 47 | 68 |
|  | Minimum | 15 | 21 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Maximum | 189 | 156 | 87 | 189 |
|  | Standard deviation | 30 | 30 | 16 | 30 |
| Average diameter of the dominating tree species of the I floor of the tree stand, cm | Arithmetic average | 22.5 | 22.1 | 18.0 | 21.3 |
|  | Minimum | 2.6 | 9.2 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
|  | Maximum | 42.8 | 36.7 | 34.7 | 42.8 |
|  | Standard deviation | 8.3 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 8.0 |
| Average height of the dominating tree species of the I floor of the tree stand, m | Arithmetic average | 18.3 | 19.7 | 19.0 | 18.7 |
|  | Minimum | 1.5 | 7.9 | 4.9 | 1.5 |
|  | Maximum | 30.3 | 30.1 | 29.9 | 30.3 |
|  | Standard deviation | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 6.4 |
| Total wood stock of the tree stand, $m^{3} h^{-1}$ | Arithmetic average | 230.0 | 268.5 | 209.1 | 232.5 |
|  | Minimum | 0.2 | 39.2 | 10.2 | 0.2 |
|  | Maximum | 738.5 | 524.2 | 523.6 | 738.5 |
|  | Standard deviation | 147.5 | 130.6 | 113.2 | 137.2 |
| Wood stock of the I floor of the tree stand, $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | Arithmetic average | 214.0 | 248.1 | 180.1 | 212.3 |
|  | Minimum | 0.2 | 39.2 | 9.9 | 0.2 |
|  | Maximum | 633.3 | 524.2 | 388.9 | 633.3 |
|  | Standard deviation | 132.0 | 125.7 | 95.0 | 124.1 |
| Sampling plots | Quantity | 140 | 51 | 64 | 255 |
| Elements | Quantity | 414 | 207 | 294 | 915 |

Equations approximated by LVMI Silava were used for changes in taxation data


## Height

## If the height of the forest element is less than 1.3 m

Height increase is modelled for each species according to the site quality of the forest type. The site quality of the previous stand is used in modelling if such information is available.

Model of projection for increase in average height of a forest element in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbf{h}_{2}=\mathbf{h}_{1}+\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}+\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathbf{B}^{\wedge} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}\right) /\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{4} \wedge \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}+\mathbf{B}^{\wedge} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}\right)\right)^{*} \Delta \mathbf{t} /(\Delta \mathbf{a}+5)\right) \text {, where } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$h_{2}$ - average height of forest element at the end of the update period, $m$;
$h_{1}$ - average height of forest element at the beginning of the update period, $m$;
$B$ - site quality of forest element (0-6);
$\Delta t$ - duration of update period, years;
$\Delta \mathrm{a}$ - difference between biological and chest height age of forest element, years;
$\alpha_{1-3}$ - coefficients (Table 2).

Table 2: Coefficient values for projection model of increase in average height for forest elements with height under 1.3 m (formula 1)

| Tree species | Tree species code | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3}$ | $\alpha_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine | 1 | 4.71974 | -5.35203 | 0.99450 | 4.87410 |
| Spruce | 3 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Birch | 4 | 4.33958 | -5.50837 | 0.94706 | 6.16190 |
| Black alder | 6 | 5.03930 | -6.88795 | 0.97118 | 6.49472 |
| Aspen | 8 | 5.02983 | -7.69748 | 0.99068 | 8.22900 |
| Grey alder | 9 | 4.88003 | -11.24780 | 0.99298 | 15.12452 |
| Oak (regular) | 10 | 4.71974 | -5.35203 | 0.99450 | 4.87410 |
| Ash | 11 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Linden | 12 | 4.33958 | -5.50837 | 0.94706 | 6.16190 |
| Larch | 13 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Other pines | 14 | 4.71974 | -5.35203 | 0.99450 | 4.87410 |
| Other spruces | 15 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Elm | 16 | 4.33958 | -5.50837 | 0.94706 | 6.16190 |
| Beech | 17 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Hornbeam | 18 | 4.88003 | -11.24780 | 0.99298 | 15.12452 |
| Poplar | 19 | 5.02983 | -7.69748 | 0.99068 | 8.22900 |
| Willow | 20 | 5.02983 | -7.69748 | 0.99068 | 8.22900 |
| Goat willow | 21 | 5.02983 | -7.69748 | 0.99068 | 8.22900 |
| Fir | 23 | 3.71000 | -3.40971 | 1.00456 | 3.52752 |
| Maple | 24 | 4.33958 | -5.50837 | 0.94706 | 6.16190 |
| Rowan | 32 | 4.88003 | -11.24780 | 0.99298 | 15.12452 |
| Cherry | 56 | 4.33958 | -5.50837 | 0.94706 | 6.16190 |

## If the height of forest element is above 1.3 m

Model of projection for increase in average height of a forest element in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{h}_{2}=1.3+\mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1} /\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}{ }^{*} 100^{*}\left(\left(a_{1} \wedge \alpha_{1} /\left(\mathbf{h}_{1}-1.3\right)-\alpha_{2}\right) /\left(\alpha^{*} 3^{*} 100+\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} \wedge \alpha_{1} /\left(\mathbf{h}_{1}-1.3\right)-\right.\right.\right.  \tag{2}\\
\left.\left.\left.\alpha_{2}\right) /\left(\alpha_{3}{ }^{*} 100+\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right)\right)^{*} \mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right) \text {, where }
\end{gather*}
$$

$h_{2}$ - average height of forest element at the end of update period, m;
$h_{1}$ - average height of forest element at the beginning of update period, $m$;
$\mathrm{a}_{1}$ - age of forest element at the height of 1.3 m at the beginning of the update period, years
$\mathrm{a}_{2}$ - age of forest element at the height of 1.3 m at the end of the update period, years
$\alpha_{1-3}$ - coefficients Table 3.
Table 3: Coefficient values for projection model of increase in average height for forest elements with height above 1.3 m (formula 2)

| Tree species | Tree <br> species code | Floor I |  |  | Floors II and III |  |  | $\mathbf{H}_{\text {max }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3}$ |  |
| Pine | 1 | 1.18111 | -42.59724 | 21.10918 | 1.18111 | -42.59724 | 21.10918 | 45 |
| Spruce | 3 | 1.29005 | -38.14248 | 20.15906 | 1.20905 | -34.00184 | 12.99559 | 45 |
| Birch | 4 | 1.33418 | -35.78521 | 16.11630 | 1.33418 | -35.78521 | 16.11630 | 39 |


| Tree species | Tree <br> specie <br> code | Floor I |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The height of a forest element is updating until it reaches the respective maximum height (Table 3). The height of the forest element is taken to remain the same if it is higher than the maximum height.

## Diameter

## If the height of the forest element is above 1.3 m

The average diameter at chest height is modelled as a secondary parameter using average height and taking the H/D proportion to be 1,2 .
Model for the calculation of average diameter in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{h} / 1.2 \text {, where } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

d - average diameter at chest height of forest element, cm ;
$h$ - average height of forest element, $m$.

## If the height of the forest element is above 1.3 m

The average diameter at chest height is modelled from the initial average diameter, age and relative density of the I floor.

Model for the calculation of average diameter of forest element at chest height Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d_{2}=1.3+a_{2}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1} /\left(\alpha_{2}{ }^{*} R B+\alpha_{3}{ }^{*} 100{ }^{*}\left(\left(a_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1} /\left(d_{1}-1.3\right)-\alpha_{2}{ }^{*} R B\right) /\left(\alpha 3^{*} 100+a_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right)\right)+\left(\left(a_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1} /\right.\right.\right.  \tag{4}\\
\left.\left.\left.\left(d_{1}-1.3\right)-\alpha_{2}{ }^{*} R B\right) /\left(\alpha_{3}{ }^{*} 100+a_{1}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right)\right)^{*} \mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{\wedge} \alpha_{1}\right), \text { where }
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{d}_{2}$ - average diameter at chest height of forest element at the end of update period, cm ;
$d_{1}-$ average diameter at chest height of forest element at the beginning of update period, cm ;
$\mathrm{a}_{1}$ - age at 1.3 m height of forest element at the beginning of update period, years;
$\mathrm{a}_{2}$ - age at 1.3 m height of forest element at the end of update period, years;
RB - relative density of the I floor of the forest stand;
$\alpha_{1-3}$ - coefficients (Table 4).
Table 4: Coefficient values for projection model of increase in average diameter of forest elements with height above 1.3 m (formula 4)

| Tree species | Tree species code | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pine | 1 | 1.06700 | -9.98500 | 5.03500 |
| Spruce | 3 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Birch | 4 | 1.04300 | -7.79300 | 3.65200 |
| Black alder | 6 | 0.91200 | -1.44400 | 1.38800 |
| Aspen | 8 | 1.29000 | -13.95300 | 9.78600 |
| Grey alder | 9 | 0.92400 | -8.15200 | 2.78100 |
| Oak (regular) | 10 | 1.06700 | -9.98500 | 5.03500 |
| Ash | 11 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Linden | 12 | 1.04300 | -7.79300 | 3.65200 |
| Larch | 13 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Other pines | 14 | 1.06700 | -9.98500 | 5.03500 |
| Other spruces | 15 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Elm | 16 | 1.04300 | -7.79300 | 3.65200 |
| Beech | 17 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Hornbeam | 18 | 0.92400 | -8.15200 | 2.78100 |
| Poplar | 19 | 1.29000 | -13.95300 | 9.78600 |
| Willow | 20 | 1.29000 | -13.95300 | 9.78600 |
| Goat willow | 21 | 1.29000 | -13.95300 | 9.78600 |
| Fir | 23 | 1.08900 | -5.69800 | 4.61700 |
| Maple | 24 | 1.04300 | -7.79300 | 3.65200 |
| Rowan | 32 | -8.15200 | 2.78100 |  |
| Cherry | 56400 | -7.79300 | 3.65200 |  |

## Number of trees

## If the height of the forest element is below 1.3 m

An annual natural mortality of $1 \%$ is modelled for forest elements with height below 1.3 m .

Model of change in tree number in forest elements in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{n}_{2}=(\mathbf{1 - 0 . 0 1} * \mathbf{t})^{*} \mathbf{n}_{1}, \text { where } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}_{2}$ - number of trees in forest element at the end of update period, ha ${ }^{-1}$;
$\mathrm{n}_{1}$ - number of trees in forest element at the beginning of update period, $\mathrm{ha}^{-1}$.

## If the height of forest element is above 1.3 m

The number of trees is calculated as a secondary parameter from projected forest element cross section area and diameter.

Algorithm for calculating the number of trees in a forest element in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=40000^{*} \mathrm{~g} / \mathbf{p i}() / \mathbf{d}^{\wedge} 2 \text {, where } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

n - number of trees in forest element, ha ${ }^{-1}$;
g - cross section area of forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
d - average diameter at chest height of forest element, cm .

## Basal area

## If the height of forest element is below 1.3 m

The cross section area of a forest stand (forest element) before reaching a height of 1.3 m is $0 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$, but after reaching a height of 1.3 m the cross section area is determined using projected number of trees and diameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{p i}()^{*} \mathbf{d}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathbf{n} / \mathbf{4 0} 000, \text { where } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

g - Cross section area of forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
d - Diameter of forest element at chest height, cm;
n - Number of trees in forest element, ha ${ }^{-1}$.

## If the height of forest element is above 1.3 m

Changes in the cross section area of the forest element depend on the projected cross section area difference and maximum cross section area.

The calculation the cross section area difference depends on the length of the projection period, cross section area and age of the forest element. If the cross section area of the forest element is less than $10 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ or the age at chest height more than the cross section update border age $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\text {lim }}\right)$ given in table 4 , or the update period more than 20 years, formula 9 is used, in other cases formula 8 is used.
Model of cross section area difference of forest elements in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{g}_{2}=\mathrm{g}_{1}+\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}{ }^{*} \mathbf{a}_{1} / 100+\alpha_{2} /\left(\mathbf{a}_{1} / \mathbf{1 0}\right)^{\wedge} 2+\alpha_{3}{ }^{*} \mathbf{g}_{1} / \mathbf{a}_{1}+\alpha_{4}{ }^{*} \mathrm{GL} / \mathbf{a}_{1}+\alpha_{5}{ }^{*} \mathrm{SI} / \mathbf{a}_{1}\right)^{*}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{1}\right)  \tag{8}\\
\mathbf{g}^{`}{ }_{2}=\mathbf{g}_{1}+\mathrm{g}_{1}{ }^{*}\left(\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}{ }^{*} \mathbf{a}_{1} / 100+\alpha_{2} / \mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{\wedge} 2\right)^{*}\left(\mathbf{a}_{2}-\mathbf{a}_{1}\right), \text { where } \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{g}^{2}{ }_{2}-$ projected cross section area of forest element at the end of period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
$\mathrm{g}_{1}$ - cross section area of forest element at the beginning of update period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
$\mathrm{a}_{1}-$ age of forest element at 1.3 m high at the beginning of update period, years;
$\mathrm{a}_{2}$ - age of forest element at 1.3 m high at the end of update period, years;
GL - sum of cross section area for forest element equal or higher than the selected forest element
(if forest element of floor I, the cross section area of floor I, if floor II forest element, then the sum of cross section area of floor I and II, if floor II forest element, then the total cross section area of the tree stand), $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
SI - projected height of forest element (formula 113) at a specific age at chest height (Table 5, $\mathrm{A}_{\text {sI }}$ ), m;
$\alpha_{i} ; \beta_{i}-$ coefficients (Table 5 and 6).
Table 5: Coefficient values for cross section area difference model for forest elements with heights above 1.3 m (formula 8)

| Tree species | Tree species code | $\alpha_{0}$ | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{4}$ | $\alpha_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pine | 1 | 0.12790 | -0.05718 | 0.02512 | 0.83096 | -0.36719 | 0.15517 |
| Spruce | 3 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Birch | 4 | 0.23598 | -0.25059 | -0.06415 | 0.60903 | -0.24720 | 0.16372 |
| Black alder | 6 | 0.19929 | -0.23874 | -0.08695 | 0.84685 | -0.18952 | 0.07761 |
| Aspen | 8 | 0.45672 | -0.46009 | 0.24801 | 0.96946 | -0.23032 | 0.00000 |
| Grey alder | 9 | 0.66125 | -1.72237 | 0.05124 | 0.96525 | -0.46311 | 0.12640 |
| Oak (regular) | 10 | 0.12790 | -0.05718 | 0.02512 | 0.83096 | -0.36719 | 0.15517 |
| Ash | 11 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Linden | 12 | 0.23598 | -0.25059 | -0.06415 | 0.60903 | -0.24720 | 0.16372 |
| Larch | 13 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Other pines | 14 | 0.12790 | -0.05718 | 0.02512 | 0.83096 | -0.36719 | 0.15517 |
| Other spruces | 15 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Elm | 16 | 0.23598 | -0.25059 | -0.06415 | 0.60903 | -0.24720 | 0.16372 |
| Beech | 17 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Hornbeam | 18 | 0.66125 | -1.72237 | 0.05124 | 0.96525 | -0.46311 | 0.12640 |
| Poplar | 19 | 0.45672 | -0.46009 | 0.24801 | 0.96946 | -0.23032 | 0.00000 |
| Willow | 20 | 0.45672 | -0.46009 | 0.24801 | 0.96946 | -0.23032 | 0.00000 |
| Goat willow | 21 | 0.45672 | -0.46009 | 0.24801 | 0.96946 | -0.23032 | 0.00000 |
| Fir | 23 | 0.19233 | -0.11625 | 0.04781 | 0.82474 | -0.23711 | 0.12125 |
| Maple | 24 | 0.23598 | -0.25059 | -0.06415 | 0.60903 | -0.24720 | 0.16372 |
| Rowan | 32 | 0.66125 | -1.72237 | 0.05124 | 0.96525 | -0.46311 | 0.12640 |
| Cherry | 56 | 0.23598 | -0.25059 | -0.06415 | 0.60903 | -0.24720 | 0.16372 |

Table 6: Coefficient values for cross section area difference models for forest elements with height above 1.3 m (formula 9) and border age values for cross section updates

| Tree species | Tree species code | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {si }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pine | 1 | 0.01800 | -0.01139 | 12.01519 | 120 | 100 |
| Spruce | 3 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Birch | 4 | 0.05146 | -0.06896 | 8.81694 | 80 | 50 |
| Black alder | 6 | 0.05924 | -0.08500 | 3.36282 | 80 | 50 |
| Aspen | 8 | 0.05660 | -0.06663 | 12.13606 | 80 | 50 |
| Grey alder | 9 | 0.06862 | -0.16547 | 6.29221 | 50 |  |


| Tree species | Tree species code | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{0}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {lim }}$ | $\mathbf{A}_{\text {si }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oak (regular) | 10 | 0.01800 | -0.01139 | 12.01519 | 120 | 100 |
| Ash | 11 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Linden | 12 | 0.05146 | -0.06896 | 8.81694 | 80 | 50 |
| Larch | 13 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Other pines | 14 | 0.01800 | -0.01139 | 12.01519 | 120 | 100 |
| Other spruces | 15 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Elm | 16 | 0.05146 | -0.06896 | 8.81694 | 80 | 100 |
| Beech | 17 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Hornbeam | 18 | 0.06862 | -0.16547 | 6.29221 | 50 | 100 |
| Poplar | 19 | 0.05660 | -0.06663 | 12.13606 | 80 | 50 |
| Willow | 20 | 0.05660 | -0.06663 | 12.13606 | 80 | 20 |
| Goat willow | 21 | 0.05660 | -0.06663 | 12.13606 | 80 | 50 |
| Fir | 23 | 0.02787 | -0.02145 | 12.57435 | 100 | 100 |
| Maple | 24 | 0.05146 | -0.06896 | 8.81694 | 80 | 50 |
| Rowan | 32 | 0.06862 | -0.16547 | 6.29221 | 50 | 50 |
| Cherry | 56 | 0.05146 | -0.06896 | 8.81694 | 80 | 50 |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{A}_{\text {lim }}$ - border age at chest height, for picking the cross section area difference equation,
$\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SI}}$ - age at chest height used to calculate the height describing tree stand productivity.
Formulas 10 and 11 are used to project the potential cross section area of the forest element, however it may not exceed the theoretically possible cross section area.
Model of the maximum cross section area of forest element in Microsoft Excel format:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{g}_{\max }=\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{1} /\left(\mathbf{1}+\left(\mathrm{d} / \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}\right)^{\wedge} \alpha_{3}\right)^{*} \mathrm{ip}  \tag{10}\\
\mathrm{~g}_{\max }=\beta_{1}{ }^{*}\left(\mathbf{1}-\exp \left(-\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}{ }^{*} \mathbf{h}\right)\right)^{*} \text { ip, where } \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{g}_{\text {max }}$ - maximum cross section area of forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
d - projected average diameter of forest element at chest height, cm;
h - projected average height of forest element, m ;
ip - proportion of forest element;
$\alpha_{i} \beta_{i}-$ coefficients (Table 7).
Formula 10 is used to calculate the maximum cross section area of a forest stand in which thinning has been done in the last 18-22 years, if there has been no thinning for a prolonged period of time, the maximum cross section area is calculated using formula 11.

Table 7: Coefficient values for maximum cross section area models for forest elements higher than 1.3 m (formulas 10 and 11)

| Tree species | Tree species code | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Pine | 1 | 63.45877 | 13.46633 | -1.51447 | 37.34807 | 0.07615 |
| Spruce | 3 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Birch | 4 | 44.21425 | 6.02039 | -1.37711 | 43.54122 | 0.03710 |
| Black alder | 6 | 50.01593 | 9.26982 | -1.87173 | 39.56055 | 0.06983 |


| Tree species | Tree species code | $\alpha_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{3}$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspen | 8 | 55.63098 | 5.97114 | -1.49469 | 43.24735 | 0.04973 |
| Grey alder | 9 | 39.01299 | 3.96501 | -2.04227 | 37.40094 | 0.07388 |
| Oak (regular) | 10 | 63.45877 | 13.46633 | -1.51447 | 37.34807 | 0.07615 |
| Ash | 11 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Linden | 12 | 44.21425 | 6.02039 | -1.37711 | 43.54122 | 0.03710 |
| Larch | 13 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Other pines | 14 | 63.45877 | 13.46633 | -1.51447 | 37.34807 | 0.07615 |
| Other spruces | 15 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Elm | 16 | 44.21425 | 6.02039 | -1.37711 | 43.54122 | 0.03710 |
| Beech | 17 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Hornbeam | 18 | 39.01299 | 3.96501 | -2.04227 | 37.40094 | 0.07388 |
| Poplar | 19 | 55.63098 | 5.97114 | -1.49469 | 43.24735 | 0.04973 |
| Willow | 20 | 55.63098 | 5.97114 | -1.49469 | 43.24735 | 0.04973 |
| Goat willow | 21 | 55.63098 | 5.97114 | -1.49469 | 43.24735 | 0.04973 |
| Fir | 23 | 56.98437 | 9.33710 | -1.70296 | 38.74357 | 0.07334 |
| Maple | 24 | 44.21425 | 6.02039 | -1.37711 | 43.54122 | 0.03710 |
| Rowan | 32 | 39.01299 | 3.96501 | -2.04227 | 37.40094 | 0.07388 |
| Cherry | 56 | 44.21425 | 6.02039 | -1.37711 | 43.54122 | 0.03710 |

The cross section area of individual forest elements is projected as the minimum cross section area from the potential cross section area of the forest element and from the calculated maximum cross section area of the forest element:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{2}=\min \left(\mathbf{g}^{`} ; \mathrm{g}_{\max }\right), \text { where } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{g}_{2}$ - cross section of the forest element at the end of the update period, $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
$\mathrm{g}^{2}{ }_{2}-$ projected cross section area of the forest element at the end of the update period (formula 8 or 9 ), $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$; $\mathrm{g}_{\text {max }}$ - maximum cross section area pf the forest element (formula 10 or 11 ), $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$.

## Growing stock

The wood stock of a forest stand is taken to be $2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ before reaching a height of 2 m (height of the dominating tree species of floor I), but the wood stock of individual forest elements is calculated from their proportion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{m}=2^{*} \mathbf{i p} \text {, where } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

m - wood stock of the forest element $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$;
ip - proportion of the forest element.
After reaching a height of 2 m the wood stock is calculated using the I. Liepa formula for individual tree volume (Liepa, 1996) using number of trees, average tree height and square average diameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\psi^{*} h^{\wedge} \alpha^{*} d^{\wedge}\left(\beta^{*} \log 10(h)+\varphi\right)^{*} n \text {, where } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ - Wood stock of the forest element, $\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$; $h$ - Average height of forest element, $m$;
d - Average diameter of forest element at chest height, cm;
n - Number of trees in forest element, ha ${ }^{-1}$;
$\psi ; \alpha ; \beta ; \phi$ - Coefficients.
The following statistical indicators are used to describe changes in projected wood stock (Von_Gadow \& Hui, 1999):

Mean deviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { MRES }=\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)}{n} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mean relative deviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
M R E S \%=\frac{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)}{n}}{\dot{y}_{i}} 100 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mean absolute deviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { AMRES }=\frac{\sum\left|y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right|}{n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Standard deviation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R M S E=\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-1-p}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Coefficient of variation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R M S E \%=\frac{\sqrt{\frac{\sum\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{n-1-p}}}{\dot{y}_{i}} 100 \text {, where } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{i}$ - Measured value;
$\widehat{y}_{i}$ - Calculated value;
$\dot{y}_{i}-$ Arithmetic average measured value;
$\hat{y}_{i}-$ Arithmetic average calculated value;
p - Number of parameters in equation;
n - Number of observations.

## RESULTS

Measured and projected total and wood stock in floor I of the tree stand are compared to describe the precision of the projection system. Statistical evaluation of other taxation indicators (H, D, G) is done during equation development (Donis s Šnnepsts. $^{2}$ \& Šēnhofs 2015). Wood stock difference depending on dominating tree species is not analyzed separately as in the sampling plots there are forest elements of species other than the dominating one.
Arithmetic average deviation int floor I of the tree stand (the difference between measured and projected values) is $7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$, but the total wood stock deviance is $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ (Table 8). In both cases the deviance does not exceed $5 \%$. The variation coefficient of projected wood stock in floor I of the tree stand is $13 \%$, but for total wood stock $-12 \%$, so the variation in dispersion of the projected wood stock can be considered to be sufficiently stable.

Table 8: Statistical indicators of the projected wood stock

| Indicator | Dominati ng tree species | Ave. | MRES | MRES \% | AMRES | RMSE | RMSE \% | R | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wood stock in floor I | P | 264 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 32 | 12 | 0.981 | 140 |
|  | S | 339 | 13 | 4 | 30 | 44 | 13 | 0.963 | 51 |
|  | B | 244 | -2 | -1 | 27 | 40 | 17 | 0.933 | 64 |
|  | All | 274 | 7 | 3 | 25 | 35 | 13 | 0.971 | 255 |
| Total wood stock | P | 288 | 6 | 2 | 23 | 32 | 11 | 0.984 | 140 |
|  | S | 362 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 45 | 12 | 0.957 | 51 |
|  | B | 290 | -11 | -4 | 31 | 43 | 15 | 0.957 | 64 |
|  | All | 303 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 36 | 12 | 0.975 | 255 |

According to the Shapiro test the distribution of the deviance (difference between measured and projected wood stock) of the total wood stock is a normal distribution ( $\mathrm{p}=0,0058$ ), but the distribution of deviance of the wood stock in floor I of the tree stand is not a normal distribution. ( 0,0029 ; Figure 2).


Figure 2: Number of sampling plots sorted by difference between measured and projected wood stock (Delta M), where A - total wood stock in stand, B - wood stock in floor $I$ of the stand.

Since the wood stock deviance in floor I of the tree stand is not distributed in a normal distribution and selection of sampling plots is random without checking if the selected sampling plots objectively describe the situation in Latvia, the Wilcoxon test was used to compare projected and measured wood stock. During the test it was observed that there is not a notable difference between the projected and measured total wood stock ( $\mathrm{p}=0.7888$ ), but the wood stock in floor I of the tree stand is consistently projected with lower values ( $\mathrm{p}=0.0001$ ).
The deviance of the projected wood stock (total and floor II) is observed to have a weak linear correlation with initial age and site quality of the tree stand (Figure 3). Due to the large number of observations this statistical correlation is important, however they look more like a chaotic collection of values (Figure 3). Therefore projected wood stock can be considered independent from the age and productivity of the stand.


Figure 3: Difference between measured and projected wood stock (Delta M) and initial age (A) and site quality of the stand, where $A$ - total wood stock in stand, $B$ - wood stock in floor $I$ of the stand.

