



# Areas with Natural Constraints (ANC)

## Part 2

- ✓ Fine-tuning

# Content

- Content ..... 2
- Introduction ..... 3
- Summary ..... 5
- Fine-tuning ..... 6
  - Normal land productivity ..... 7
- Fine-tuning Results ..... 10
- Annex 1 ..... 12
- Annex 2 ..... 21

# Introduction

REGULATION (EU) No. 1305/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 17 December 2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No. 1698/2005 provides for that, during the planning period of 2014–2020, areas facing significant natural constraints might be delimited and support payments of 25 to 450 EUR/ha a year can be made in these areas.

According to the conditions of the Regulation, the following three categories of areas are distinguished:

- (a) mountain areas;
- (b) areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints;

## Fine-tuning

Simultaneously, the Regulation provides for that after the aforementioned categories have been identified, Member States shall carry out fine-tuning, which means the identification of certain areas and their deprivation of ANC status, if it is justifiably established that the economic constraints in the identified areas have been overcome by investments or by normal land productivity, or the management system compensates for income loss or added costs.

- (c) other areas affected by specific constraints.

In Latvia's case, mountain areas have not been identified, whereas activities have been carried out to identify the areas of Latvia, which would correspond to the category of areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints.

**REGULATION (EU) No 1305/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 17 december 2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005**  
**Article 32 Designation of areas facing natural and other specific constraints**

1. Member States shall, on the basis of paragraphs 2, 3 and 4, designate areas eligible for payments provided for in Article 31 under the following categories:

- (b) areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints;


3. In order to be eligible for payments under Article 31, areas, other than mountain areas, shall be considered to be facing significant natural constraints if, at least 60 % of the agricultural area meets at least one of the criteria listed in Annex III at the threshold value indicated.

In order to identify areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints in compliance with Article 32, Clause 1(b) and Article 32, Clause 3 of the Regulation, a report "Testing the biophysical criteria for Areas with Natural Constraints" has been prepared.

As a result of the report, based on the biophysical criteria, the areas compliant with ANC conditions have been identified.

To identify areas, other than mountain areas, facing significant natural constraints, Latvia has used the following criteria: Low temperature.


Territories where the thermal-time sums is LFA criterion occurrence possibility >20% (8 measurement method, period 1968-2008) on the map of territorial units with soil results:



In this report, the work is continued to simulate the situation by applying the fine-tuning approach.


## Summary

Taking into account the considerations described in report, Latvia has identified territorial units to be excluded from ANC by means of Fine-tuning method, substantiating it with favourable production conditions, by which the constraints created under the influence of climate are overcome.



In the identified area during fine-tuning modulation, the Agricultural area is 55.2 thsd ha or 2.3% of the total Agricultural area in the country.

By combining the biophysical criteria and results obtained during fine-tuning modulation, Latvia has identified ANC area, the amount of Agricultural Areas is 2123 thsd. ha or 88.8% of the total agricultural area in the country.



# Fine-tuning

REGULATION (EU) No 1305/2013 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

of 17 december 2013 on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 1698/2005

## Article 32

### Designation of areas facing natural and other specific constraints

When delimiting the areas concerned by this paragraph, Member States shall carry out a fine-tuning exercise, based on objective criteria, with the purpose of excluding areas in which significant natural constraints, referred to in the first subparagraph have been documented but have been overcome by **investments** or by, **economic activity**, or **by evidence of normal land productivity**, or in which production methods or farming systems have offset the income loss or added costs referred to in Article 31(1).

Pursuant to the conditions of the Regulation and Guidelines “Fine-tuning in areas facing significant natural and specific constraints” Annex I – Correspondence table of biophysical criteria and fine-tuning indicators, the indicators, which are to be used in carrying out fine-tuning, have been identified and tested :

:

- *Irrigation*
- *Artificial drainage*
- *Greenhouses*
- *Tree density*
- *Livestock density*
- *Average yield*
- *Farming system*
- *Production method*
- *Standard output*
- *Normal land productivity*

Having assessed the output data and background information regarding the said criteria, we have concluded that the application of such criteria as *Irrigation*, *Greenhouses*, *Tree density*, and *Livestock density* within the framework of fine-tuning is unjustified, since the areas affected by these criteria are small and they are scattered and are not concentrated in any particular territorial unit.

The *Artificial drainage* and *Irrigation* criteria have not been included in the guidelines on criteria applicability, since the basic criterion for delimiting ANC in Latvia is Low temperature.

The application of average yield as one of the criteria is inexpedient, as in our opinion, it partly duplicates normal land productivity criterion, which is significantly emphasized for the implementation of fine-tuning exercise in Latvia's case. The application of farming systems and production method is not adequate for Latvia.

*Standard output* is one of the parameters, which is widely used when analysing agricultural data and is compared at EU level. The analysis of available data suggests that management intensity in Latvia, if assessed according to *Standard output* criterion, is significantly lower and amounts to 24% of the average level of EU Member States. In assessing at national level, it is to be concluded that due to low average base, as well as small territorial units, any more serious economic activity considerably affects area indicators and exceeds the threshold of 80% specified in the Guidelines, without reflecting the general actual situation in the particular area at the same time. In this regard, we consider that it is not objective to apply *Standard output* criterion for the implementation of Fine-tuning on a national scale.

Within the framework of fine-tuning, Latvia has carried out the simulation of criteria referred to in the Guidelines “Fine-tuning in areas facing significant natural and specific constraints”, based on the available information and we came to the conclusion that land productivity is the best one.

## Normal land productivity

The characterising indicator of the *Normal Land Productivity* criterion in Latvia is *Evaluation of Soil in Points*.

### *Evaluation of Soil in Points*

The quality of land used for agriculture characterises land productivity (or the benefit the soil can give to its owner, if it is properly cultivated and used). In Latvia, the quality of land used for agriculture or qualitative evaluation is expressed in points and one land value point corresponds to 70 kg of rye, which amounts to EUR 9.30 (based on Central Statistic Bureau: CSB data on rye prices in 2008–2012).

Evaluation specialists of the State Land Service annually determine the qualitative evaluation (in points) of land used for agriculture for the particular land unit by using cartographic materials — principal maps of quality evaluation of land used for agriculture or land quality evaluation and soil mapping materials. Evaluation points are determined in absence, without inspecting the land unit on site.

The information used to apply the criterion has been obtained from the State Land Service<sup>1</sup>. The State Land Service (hereinafter — “SLS”) is a governmental institution of the Republic of Latvia which was established in 1992 to implement land reform. The SLS is in charge of real property object data accumulation and dissemination to institutions responsible for land management and supervision. The SLS is supervised by Minister for Justice.

The main tasks of the SLS are as follows:

- the provision of the State Information System of real property cadastre and registration of real property object data – registration and updating of textual and spatial data on land units, buildings, groups of premises, parcels of land, system maintenance and development of Real Property market data base maintenance, provision of data accessibility in on-line mode;
- maintenance textual and graphical information in the State Address Register – textual and spatial addressing objects registration and updating, system maintenance and developing, drafting and updating of administrative territory border descriptions and graphical data;
- mass valuation of real property - land units, buildings, groups of premises, parcels of land, the development of cadastral value base, determination of special values;
- the implementation of national land reform policy – maintenance of Rural Land Privatization Register, taking decisions on renewal of land proprietary rights or transfer of land into ownership for payment in rural areas, consideration of border disputes in rural area, organization of state funded land cadastral surveying for former proprietors;
- the provision of the operation of high detailed elaboration topographic data central database – accumulation of high resolution topographic data of all state territory;
- the maintenance of Information System of restricted zones – registration and data updating of restricted zones and objects;
- cadastral surveying of buildings and groups of premises – obtaining textual and spatial data of buildings and groups of premises for updating the information in the State Information System of Real Property Cadaster, management of cadastral and land survey methodology

The information used to apply the criterion from the Information System of the State Real Estate Cadastre of the SLS regarding the average weighed qualitative evaluation of land used for agriculture in territorial units. In Annex 1, Evaluation of Soil in Points in the cross-section of parishes.

Basis for the evaluation of soil fertility is done on the basis of the land productivity. Characteristics of the plots that form a major part of the factors influencing the number of points are those related to configuration of the plots, local topography, size of the plot, existence of drainage systems and other factors that have been over time influenced by the investment made in each of the local conditions like investments in making more homogenous fields, drainage systems, fertilisations, liming etc.

On the basis of these criteria yield of cereals is closely linked to the fertility score. Example of such evaluation is demonstrated in the table where at the last column the resultant criteria which is very important determining the soil fertility is the yield of cereals.

---

<sup>1</sup> <http://vzd.gov.lv/en/>

**Lauksaimniecības zemes vērtēšanas tabula**  
Arāmzemei, daudzgadīgiem stādījumiem, kultivētām ganībām

Zemes novērtējums		Augšne		Reljefs un mitruma apstākļi	Zemes īpašību raksturojums	Graudaugu normatīvā raža, cnt/ha (klēts raža)
klase	balles	tips, apakštips	mehāniskais sastāvs			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I	100-91	Vk A B	SM <sub>1</sub>	Reljefs līdzens, augšnes ūdens režīms pilnīgi nokārtots, var būt apūdeņošanas vai divpusēja regulācija.	Sevišķi augsti iekultivētas augšnes, trūdساتurs virs 3%, laba struktūra un sakārtā, bez podzolēšanās un glejološanās pazīmēm.	51-56
II	90-81	Vk A B	SM mS	Reljefs līdzens, nogāzes slīpums 0-3°, augšnes mitruma apstākļi dabiski labi vai nekārtoti ar drenāžu	Augšnes labi iekultivētas,	45-51
III	80-71	Vk; B; A	a) SM; mS; sS b) SM; mS	Reljefs līdzens un viegli viļņots, nogāzes slīpums 0-4°. Augšnes mitruma apstākļi dabiski labi vai arī	a) augšņu iekultivēšana virs vidējaislīdz labai; b) auzām iekultivēšana laba	40-45

Valuation of the land		Soil		Topography and humidity conditions	Characteristics of the land ("degree of cultivation")	Cereal yields, normative, cnt/ha
Class	points	Type	mechanical composition			

Majority of the characteristics is influenced by farming systems and management practices over time, like investments, including fertilisation.

Agricultural land, depending on the agricultural land quality assessment in points after the legislative productivity (one value of the land the ball - 70 kg rye units) are divided into seven groups of quality.

Basis for the evaluation and regular update of the fertility estimates of land:

- The Cabinet regulations on Cadastral evaluation stipulate that State Land Service (SLS) regularly updates information on the value of agricultural land. One of the elements of value of the land (point 28) is the quality of land, i.e. fertility value (point 27). See <http://likumi.lv/doc.php?id=134568>.
- Following points explain the fundamental principles of regular update carried out by the SLS
  87. The quality assessment of the utilised agricultural area in points shall be determined by the State Land Service by normative productivity without exploration on site (office work), using approved base maps of quality assessment for utilised agricultural area or land quality assessment and soil mapping materials.
  88. The quality of utilised agricultural area in points shall be determined for the unit of land as the weighted average quality assessment. A quality assessment in points of the utilised agricultural area specified for the unit of land shall be applied to a part of the unit of land.
  89. If, in assessing the information of the assessment base map, it is determined, that non-utilised agricultural area has been acquired in the unit of land to be assessed in comparison with base the map or the amelioration situation has changed, or the types of use of utilised agricultural area have been changed, the assessment of the transformed land area quality shall be adjusted using the land assessment tables and designations of the soil type and mechanical content (Annexes 5, 6 and 7).
- As can be seen from the Annex 2, the biggest differences are due to in particular easiness of cultivation.


It is of very high importance to have newly delimited ANC from 2015 because

- farmers have political expectations to have more objective system of the newly delimited ANC and ASC as compared to 2007-2013;
- there are elements in greening practices that are dependent on existence of the new delimitation and have to be implemented as early as possible.

Although the origin of the system of soil fertility points dates back 40 years ago and using the data then available, there were regular updates of the fertility points. There is already the system in Latvia that ensures regular update of the data that Latvia applies for the fine-tuning. In 2015 the consistency of land fertility points reflecting the yields on the ground will be re-evaluated, and if necessary, modifications in the fine-tuning will be introduced.



By using qualitative evaluation within the framework of fine-tuning, the areas, the evaluation of which exceeds 50 points, have been identified. The threshold has been determined by using land productivity parameters specified in the monograph issued under the edition of A. Boruks "Use of Land and Cadastre in Latvia", which are based on the result of scientific research. In soils with the evaluation above 50 points, the degree of soil cultivation is above average and good normative yield of cereals is above average.




Data source: MoA of Latvia

Figure 1: Areas in which soil evaluation is above 50 points.

In the identified Agricultural area with the evaluation above 50 points is 169 thsd ha. Fine-tuned Agricultural area (darker red) is 55.2 thsd ha or 2.3% of the total Agricultural area in the country.

## Fine-tuning Results

According to the results of assessed criteria, within the framework of Fine-tuning Latvia has identified areas to be excluded from ANC support. In these areas, the assessed results of criteria ensure confidence that the farming constraints caused under the influence of climate are being overcome.




Data source: MoA of Latvia

Figure 2: Areas which have been identified as areas to be excluded from ANC as a result of fine-tuning

In the identified area during fine-tuning modulation, the Agricultural area is 55.2 thsd ha or 2.3% of the total Agricultural area in the country.

By combining the biophysical criteria and results obtained during fine-tuning modulation, Latvia has identified the ANC map.



Data source: MoA of Latvia

Figure 3: ANC map offered by Latvia after applying fine-tuning

In the identified ANC area, the amount of Agricultural Areas is 2123 thsd. ha or 88.8% of the total Agricultural area in the country.



**Evaluation of soil in points in the cross-section of parishes**  
as at 1 January 2014.

Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014		
		Audriņu pagasts	32
		Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Abavas pagasts	40	Augstkalnes pagasts	62
Ābeļu pagasts	34	Aulejas pagasts	35
Ādažu novads	40	Auru pagasts	48
Aglonas pagasts	27	Babītes pagasts	35
Ainažu pagasts	35	Baldones pagasts	33
Aiviekstes pagasts	30	Balgales pagasts	42
Aizkalnes pagasts	34		
Aizkraukles pagasts	42	Baltinavas novads	37
		Balvu pagasts	33
Aizputes pagasts	39		
		Bārbeles pagasts	41
Aknīstes pagasts	36	Barkavas pagasts	39
		Bārtas pagasts	41
Allažu pagasts	39		
Alojas pagasts	36	Bebrenes pagasts	31
		Bebru pagasts	35
Alsungas novads	32	Beļavas pagasts	33
Alsviķu pagasts	28	Bēnes pagasts	42
		Bērzaines pagasts	41
Amatas pagasts	31	Bērzaunes pagasts	29
Ambeļu pagasts	29	Bērzes pagasts	57
Ances pagasts	31	Bērzgales pagasts	33
Andrupenes pagasts	33	Bērziņu pagasts	35
Andzeļu pagasts	31	Bērzkalles pagasts	33
Annas pagasts	35	Bērzpils pagasts	32
Annenieku pagasts	44	Bikstu pagasts	45
Apes pagasts	35	Biķernieku pagasts	32
		Bilskas pagasts	35
Ārlavas pagasts	39	Birzgales pagasts	38
Aronas pagasts	31	Blīdenes pagasts	41
Asares pagasts	35	Blomes pagasts	36
Asūnes pagasts	35		
Atašienes pagasts	35		

Blontu pagasts	32
Brantu pagasts	33
Braslavas pagasts	41
Brenguļu pagasts	40
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Briežuciema pagasts	36
Briģu pagasts	33
Brīvēznieku pagasts	40
Brunavas pagasts	46
Bukaišu pagasts	57
Bunkas pagasts	40
Burtnieku pagasts	42
Carnikavas novads	40
Cenu pagasts	32
Ceraukstes pagasts	56
Cēres pagasts	38
Cesvaines pagasts	35
Ciblas pagasts	33
Cieceres pagasts	42
Cīravas pagasts	40
Cirmas pagasts	33
Codes pagasts	58
Čornājas pagasts	30
Dagdas pagasts	34
Daudzeses pagasts	33
Daugmales pagasts	35
Daukstu pagasts	39
Dāviņu pagasts	45
Degoles pagasts	44
Dekšāres pagasts	40
Demenes pagasts	30
Dignājas pagasts	36
Dikļu pagasts	39
Dobeles pagasts	53

Drabešu pagasts		36
Dricānu pagasts		31
Drustu pagasts		29
Druvienas pagasts		35
Dubnas pagasts		37
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014	
Dunalkas pagasts		41
Dunavas pagasts		35
Dundagas pagasts		33
Dunikas pagasts		36
Durbes pagasts		41
Dvietes pagasts		39
Dzelzavas pagasts		40
Dzērbenes pagasts		30
Džūkstes pagasts		44
Ēdoles pagasts		33
Eglaines pagasts		32
Elejas pagasts		57
Elkšņu pagasts		32
Embūtes pagasts		37
Engures pagasts		18
Ērgļu pagasts		28
Ērgemes pagasts		36
Ēveles pagasts		37
Ezeres pagasts		49
Ezernieku pagasts		31
Feimaņu pagasts		33
Gaigalavas pagasts		39
Gaiķu pagasts		41
Gailīšu pagasts		63
Galēnu pagasts		38
Galgauskas pagasts		38
Garkalnes novads		24
Gārsenes pagasts		38
Gaujienas pagasts		37
Gaviezes pagasts		40
Glūdas pagasts		56

Goliševas pagasts	37
Gramzdas pagasts	39
Grāveru pagasts	32
Griškānu pagasts	35
Grobiņas pagasts	37
Grundzāles pagasts	33
Gudenieku pagasts	36
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Ģibuļu pagasts	40
Iecavas novads	41
Īles pagasts	37
Ilzenes pagasts	38
Ilzeskalna pagasts	32
Inčukalna pagasts	33
Indrānu pagasts	36
Indras pagasts	38
Inešu pagasts	29
Ipiķu pagasts	38
Irlavas pagasts	40
Iršu pagasts	34
Īslīces pagasts	63
Isnaudas pagasts	29
Istras pagasts	27
Īvandes pagasts	35
Īves pagasts	38
Izvaltas pagasts	31
Jaunalūksnes pagasts	31
Jaunannas pagasts	41
Jaunauces pagasts	45
Jaunbērzes pagasts	54
Jaungulbenes pagasts	38
Jaunjelgavas pagasts	24
Jaunlaicenes pagasts	28
Jaunlutriņu pagasts	47

Jaunpiebalgas pagasts	29
Jaunpils pagasts	48
Jaunsātu pagasts	41
Jaunsvirlaukas pagasts	56
Jērcēnu pagasts	38
Jersikas pagasts	36
Jeru pagasts	40
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Jumpravas pagasts	43
Jumurdas pagasts	28
Jūrkalnes pagasts	35
Kabiles pagasts	39
Kaives pagasts	30
Kalētu pagasts	38
Kalkūnes pagasts	34
Kalna pagasts	35
Kalnecmpju pagasts	33
Kalnciema pagasts	26
Kalniešu pagasts	33
Kalsnavas pagasts	32
Kalupes pagasts	36
Kalvenes pagasts	36
Kandavas pagasts	39
Kantīnieku pagasts	33
Kaplavas pagasts	34
Kārķu pagasts	38
Kastuļinas pagasts	29
Katvaru pagasts	41
Kauguru pagasts	38
Kaunatas pagasts	29
Kazdangas pagasts	40
Klintaines pagasts	35
Kocēnu pagasts	42

Kokneses pagasts	39
Kolkas pagasts	17
Kombuļu pagasts	30
Konstantinovas pagasts	33
Krapes pagasts	38
Krāslavas pagasts	33
Krimuldas pagasts	40
Krimūnu pagasts	61
Krišjāņu pagasts	31
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Krustpils pagasts	37
Kubuļu pagasts	32
Kūku pagasts	38
Kupravas pagasts	21
Kurmāles pagasts	37
Kurmenes pagasts	38
Kursīšu pagasts	43
Ķeipenes pagasts	39
Ķekavas pagasts	35
Ķepovas pagasts	34
Ķoņu pagasts	40
Ķūļciema pagasts	36
Laidu pagasts	38
Laidzes pagasts	41
Lapmežciema pagasts	19
Lauberes pagasts	36
Laucesas pagasts	36
Laucienes pagasts	38
Lauderu pagasts	26
Launkalnes pagasts	34
Lazdonas pagasts	25
Lazdukalna pagasts	35
Lazdulejas pagasts	36
Lažas pagasts	38
Lēdmanes pagasts	41
Lēdurgas pagasts	44

Leimaņu pagasts	35
Lejasciema pagasts	36
Lendžu pagasts	31
Lestenes pagasts	44
Lībagu pagasts	41
Līdumnieku pagasts	32
Lielaucis pagasts	37
Lielplatones pagasts	58
Lielvārdes pagasts	46
Liepas pagasts	41
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Liepnas pagasts	28
Liepupes pagasts	40
Liezēres pagasts	29
Līgatnes pagasts	39
Līgo pagasts	38
Līksnas pagasts	40
Limbažu pagasts	42
Litenes pagasts	32
Līvberzes pagasts	51
Lizuma pagasts	40
Lodes pagasts	41
Lubes pagasts	39
Lutriņu pagasts	45
Lūznavas pagasts	30
Ļaudonas pagasts	38
Madlienas pagasts	39
Mākoņkalna pagasts	26
Malienas pagasts	37
Malnavas pagasts	41
Mālpils novads	41

Maltas pagasts	32
Mārupes pagasts	35
Maļinovas pagasts	35
Mārcienas pagasts	32
Mārkalnes pagasts	30
Mārsnēnu pagasts	42
Mārupes novads	35
Matīšu pagasts	41
Matkules pagasts	41
Mazozolu pagasts	33
Mazsalacas pagasts	42
Mazzalves pagasts	34
Medņevas pagasts	34
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Medumu pagasts	28
Medzes pagasts	37
Meņģeles pagasts	37
Mērdzenes pagasts	33
Mērsraga pagasts	25
Mētrienas pagasts	38
Mežāres pagasts	34
Mežotnes pagasts	62
Mežvidu pagasts	32
Mores pagasts	34
Murmastienes pagasts	40
Nagļu pagasts	31
Naudītes pagasts	38
Naujenes pagasts	33
Naukšēnu pagasts	40
Nautrēnu pagasts	35
Neretas pagasts	35
Nīcas pagasts	35
Nīcgales pagasts	38
Nīgrandes pagasts	47
Nīkrāces pagasts	35
Nirzas pagasts	28
Nītaures pagasts	30
Novadnieku pagasts	43

Ņukšu pagasts	29
Ogresgala pagasts	43
Olaines pagasts	39
Ošupes pagasts	38
Otaņķu pagasts	37
Ozolaines pagasts	30
Ozolmuižas pagasts	36
Ozolnieku pagasts	29
Padures pagasts	41
Pāles pagasts	39
Palsmanes pagasts	35
Pampāļu pagasts	43
Pasienes pagasts	29
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Pededzes pagasts	33
Pelču pagasts	39
Pelēču pagasts	34
Penkules pagasts	55
Piedrujas pagasts	35
Pildas pagasts	24
Piļskalna pagasts	35
Piļskalna pagasts	32
Piltenes pagasts	41
Plāņu pagasts	40
Platonas pagasts	55
Popes pagasts	37
Praulienas pagasts	34
Preiļu pagasts	40
Priekules pagasts	39
Priekuļu pagasts	45
Prodes pagasts	33
Pureņu pagasts	35



Pūres pagasts	42
Pušas pagasts	30
Pušmucovas pagasts	31
Puzes pagasts	40
Raiskuma pagasts	37
Ramatas pagasts	36
Rankas pagasts	35
Raņķu pagasts	41
Raunas pagasts	37
Rembates pagasts	43
Remtes pagasts	42
Rencēnu pagasts	39
Rendas pagasts	37
Riebiņu pagasts	40
Rikavas pagasts	41
Rites pagasts	34
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Robežnieku pagasts	38
Rojas pagasts	33
Ropažu novads	35
Rožkalnu pagasts	37
Rožupes pagasts	36
Rubas pagasts	47
Rubenes pagasts	37
Rucavas pagasts	35
Rudbāržu pagasts	38
Rudzātu pagasts	36
Rugāju pagasts	32
Rumbas pagasts	37
Rundāles pagasts	62
Rundēnu pagasts	25
Rušonas pagasts	30
Sakas pagasts	27
Sakstagala pagasts	34
Salacgrīvas pagasts	35

Salas pagasts	37
Salas pagasts	36
Salaspils pagasts	34
Saldus pagasts	49
Salienas pagasts	33
Salnavas pagasts	35
Sarkaņu pagasts	34
Saukas pagasts	37
Saulkrastu pagasts	24
Saunas pagasts	40
Sausnējas pagasts	31
Seces pagasts	32
Sējas novads	40
Sēlpils pagasts	32
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Sēļu pagasts	37
Sēmes pagasts	34
Sērenes pagasts	36
Sesavas pagasts	65
Sidrabenis pagasts	41
Siguldas pagasts	46
Silajāņu pagasts	35
Silmalas pagasts	39
Sīļukalna pagasts	37
Skaistas pagasts	33
Skaistkalnes pagasts	42
Skaņkalnes pagasts	39
Skrīveru novads	46
Skrudalienas pagasts	33
Skrundas pagasts	38
Skujenes pagasts	28

Skultes pagasts	38
Slampes pagasts	47
Smārdes pagasts	37
Smiltenes pagasts	43
Snēpeles pagasts	40
Sokolku pagasts	42
Stabulnieku pagasts	40
Staburaga pagasts	32
Staiceles pagasts	38
Stalbes pagasts	35
Stāmerienas pagasts	38
Stelpes pagasts	41
Stoļerovas pagasts	31
Stopiņu novads	30
Stradu pagasts	37
Straupes pagasts	37
Strazdes pagasts	40
Stružānu pagasts	26
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Sunākstes pagasts	32
Suntažu pagasts	39
Susāju pagasts	30
Sutru pagasts	37
Svariņu pagasts	31
Sventes pagasts	33
Svētes pagasts	51
Svitenes pagasts	66
Šēderes pagasts	31
Šķaunes pagasts	35
Šķēdes pagasts	47
Šķeltovas pagasts	30
Šķilbēnu pagasts	36
Tabores pagasts	35
Tadaikū pagasts	44

Tārgales pagasts	40
Taurenes pagasts	32
Taurupes pagasts	37
Tērvetes pagasts	59
Tilžas pagasts	34
Tīnūžu pagasts	37
Tirzas pagasts	37
Tomes pagasts	31
Trapenes pagasts	37
Trikātas pagasts	40
Tumes pagasts	43
Turku pagasts	35
Turlavas pagasts	40
Ūdrīšu pagasts	30
Ugāles pagasts	39
Ukru pagasts	45
Umurgas pagasts	39
Upmalas pagasts	36
Usmas pagasts	34
Užavas pagasts	36
Vaboles pagasts	37
Vadakstes pagasts	48
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014
Vaidavas pagasts	42
Vaiņodes pagasts	37
Vaives pagasts	35
Valdgales pagasts	39
Valgundes pagasts	37
Valkas pagasts	38
Valles pagasts	39
Valmieras pagasts	41
Vandzenes pagasts	41
Vānes pagasts	40

Varakļānu pagasts	37	Virešu pagasts	38
		Virgas pagasts	37
Variešu pagasts	35	Višķu pagasts	38
Variņu pagasts	39	Vītiņu pagasts	45
Vārkavas pagasts	39	Zalves pagasts	35
Vārmes pagasts	37	Zaļenieku pagasts	53
Vārves pagasts	41	Zaļesjes pagasts	29
Vecates pagasts	40	Zantes pagasts	39
Vecauces pagasts	52	Zaņas pagasts	46
Veclaicenes pagasts	25	Zasas pagasts	36
Vecpiebalgas pagasts	30	Zaubes pagasts	32
Vecpils pagasts	35	Zebrenes pagasts	35
Vecsalienas pagasts	34	Zeltiņu pagasts	29
Vecsaules pagasts	43	Zemītes pagasts	42
Vectilžas pagasts	33	Zentenes pagasts	35
Vecumnieku pagasts	36	Ziemera pagasts	30
Vecumu pagasts	33	Zilākalna pagasts	22
Vērēmu pagasts	31	Zirņu pagasts	43
Vērgales pagasts	39	Ziru pagasts	40
Veselavas pagasts	35	Zlēku pagasts	39
Vestienas pagasts	31	Zosēnu pagasts	30
Vidrižu pagasts	38	Zvārdes pagasts	27
Viesatu pagasts	40	Zvārtavas pagasts	34
Viesītes pagasts	34	Zvirgzdenes pagasts	30
		Žīguru pagasts	20
Administrative territories and territorial units of regions 3 January 2011	Average weighed qualitative evaluation of LUA p/ha (rounded-off) SLS 01012014		
Viesturu pagasts	63		
Vietalvas pagasts	33		
Vijciema pagasts	41		
Vīksnas pagasts	30		
Vilces pagasts	57		
Vilpulkas pagasts	38		
Viljānu pagasts	45		
Vilķenes pagasts	39		
Vīpes pagasts	36		
Virbu pagasts	37		
Vircavas pagasts	58		



Extract of Annex to illustrate the principle of regular update of the quality evaluation of the agriculture land pursued by the SLS

5.pielikums  
Ministru kabineta  
2006.gada 18.aprīļa noteikumiem Nr.305

**Zemes vērtēšanas darba tabula**  
**(aramzemes, daudzgadīgo stādījumu, kultivēto ganību zemes kvalitātes novērtējums ballēs)**  
**Table of evaluation of land in fertility points (arable, perennial plantings, sown grassland)**

Nr. p.k.	Augsnes tips Soil type	Mehāniskais sastāvs Mechanical content	Iekultivēšanas pakāpe Easiness of cultivation				
			vāja weak (1)	zem vidējas below average (2)	vidēja average (3)	virs vidējas above average (4)	laba good (5)
			1.	Vk, Vki Vkr Bk Bn A	M SM1 SM2 SM3 mS	20 20 20 25-30 25	25-35 25-40 25-40 35-45